PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43*bis*.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 05.03.2004 PCT/IT2004/000112 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01R4/64 Applicant CEMBRE S.P.A. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer**

Durand, F

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2851



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

10/588892

AP20 Rec'd PGIFTO 10 AUG 2006 International application No. PCT/T2004/000112

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

_	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard the language	d to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	langua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following ge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: 					
	a. type of r	naterial:				
	□ as	equence listing				
	□ tab	le(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format o	f material:				
	□ in v	written format				
	□ in o	computer readable form				
	c. time of f	iling/furnishing:				
	□ coı	ntained in the international application as filed.				
	☐ file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	☐ fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	has be copies	lition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished.				

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IT2004/000112

	Box	No. II	Priority		-			
1.		The following document has not been furnished:						
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier	application	n whose pr	iority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).		
			translation of the ea	arlier appli	ication who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.						
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	. Additional observations, if necessary:							
	Box ind	x No. V ustrial a	Reasoned state applicability; citation	ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanatio	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement		
1.	Sta	tement						
	Nov	velty (N))	Yes:	Claims	17,23-34		
		•		No:	Claims	1-16,18-22		
	Inve	entive st	tep (IS)	Yes:	Claims			
				No:	Claims	1-34		
	Ind	ustrial a	pplicability (IA)		Claims	1-34		
				No:	Claims			
2.	Cita	ations a	nd explanations					
	see	e separa	ate sheet					

10/588892 AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 10 AUG 2006 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IT2004/000112

Re Item V

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US-A-3 476 010 (MARKEY ROSCOE I) 4 November 1969 (1969-11-04)
D2: EP-A-1 300 598 (KELLERMANN FA RUDOLF) 9 April 2003 (2003-04-09)

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document) a screw for the electrical connection of a cable to a railway or the like, comprising a base body (1) having:

- -an elongate shank having a longitudinal axis (L),
- -a strike head (3) connected with a first end of the shank,
- -means (2) for the removable connection of a strike organ or nut with a second end of the shank opposite said first end, said screw further comprising:
- -a support element (7) manufactured separately from the base body (1) and associated to the strike head (3) so as to form a stroke that defines a cavity turned towards the second end of the shank, whereby the screw is pre-assembled and comprises means (9) making a substantially irreversible connection of the support element to the base body.

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-34.

Dependent claims 2-34 not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:

- 3.1 The subject-matter of claims 2-16, 18,21,22 is already disclosed in document D1 (see in particular, Fig.1-, 9).
- 3.2 The subject-matter of claims also 2-16, 18-22 is already disclosed in Document D2 (see Fig. 1-7).

- 3.3 In claims 23-34 a slight constructional change in the screw of claim 1 is defined which comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject-matter of claims 23-34 also lacks an inventive step.
- 4 .INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY.

The subject-matter of the present application relates to a screw for the electrical connection of a cable terminal to a railway track. The requirement of Article 34(4) PCT regarding the industrial applicability are therefore fulfilled.